

2831

In re Application of: Cain et al.

Group Art Unit: 2831

Serial No.: 09/847,840

Examiner: Dinkins, A.

Filed: April 27, 2001

Confirmation No.: 8683

Title: INTEGRATED DUAL FREQUENCY  
 NOISE ATTENUATOR

Commissioner for Patents  
 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
 Washington, DC 20231



COPY OF PAPERS  
 ORIGINALLY FILED

RECEIVED

FEB 22 2002

MAIL ROOM

**Response**

This is a response/amendment/letter in the above-identified application and includes the herewith attachment of same date and subject which is incorporated hereto by reference and the signature below is to be treated as the signature to the attachment in absence of a signature thereto.

Fee requirements (if any) have been calculated as shown below:

| Claims<br>remaining<br>after<br>amendment   | Highest<br>number<br>previously<br>paid for | Present<br>Extra | Additional<br>Fee |
|---|---|------------------|-------------------|
| Total Effective Claims 15 minus 20 = 0 x \$18 =   |   |                  | \$ 0.00           |
| Independent Claims 6 minus 6 = 0 x \$84 =   |   |                  | \$ 0.00           |
| If amendment enters <u>proper</u> multiple dependent claim(s) into this application for <u>first</u> time, add \$270.00 (per application)   |   |                  | \$                |
| Since Official Action set an <u>original</u> due date of <u>1/09/02</u>   |   |                  |                   |
| <b>PETITION</b> is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this response is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month \$110; 2 months \$400; 3 months \$920; 4 months \$1440) |   |                  | \$                |
| If Terminal Disclaimer enclosed, add Rule 20(d) Official Fee (\$110.00)   |   |                  | \$                |
| <b>SUBTOTAL:</b>  |   |                  | \$                |
| If "small entity" verified statement filed <input type="checkbox"/> previously,<br><input type="checkbox"/> herewith, enter one-half (1/2) of subtotal and <u>subtract</u>                        |   |                  | \$                |
| <b>TOTAL:</b>   |   |                  | \$                |
| Other: <u>Appendix A</u>  |   |                  | \$                |
| <b>TOTAL FEE ENCLOSED:</b>  |   |                  | \$ 0.00           |

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee specifically authorized hereafter, or any fees in addition to the fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith or concerning any paper filed hereafter, and which may be required under Rules 16-18 (deficiency only) now or hereafter relative to this application and the resulting official document under Rule 20, or credit any overpayment, to our Account No. shown in the heading hereof for which purpose a duplicate copy of this sheet is attached. This statement does not authorize charge of the issue fee in this case.

ADDRESS:  
 Post Office Box 1449  
 Greenville, South Carolina 29602  
 Phone: 864-271-1592  
 Facsimile: 864-233-7342

**DORITY & MANNING**  
**ATTORNEYS AT LAW, P.A.**  
 By Atty: Charles R. Ducker, Jr. Reg. No.: 46,542  
 Signature: Charles R. Ducker Jr.

I hereby certify that this correspondence and any referenced attachment and fee are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington DC 20231, on January 9, 2002.

Denise Bulkeley  
 (Typed or printed name of person mailing paper or fee)  
Denise Bulkeley  
 (Signature of person mailing paper or fee)



COPY OF PAPERS  
ORIGINALLY FILED

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: AVX-38-RE

#6/Response  
7/26/01

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of  
CAIN et al.

Serial No.: 09/847,840

Filed: APRIL 27, 2001

For: INTEGRATED DUAL FREQUENCY  
NOISE ATTENUATOR

Examiner: DINKINS,

Art Unit: 2831

RECEIVED  
FEB 22 2002  
TC 2880 MAIL ROOM

RESPONSE

Honorable Commissioner of  
Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Honorable Commissioner:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.111(a), Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the subject application, based on the following response.

REVIEW

The current reissue application set forth claims 1-15 of which claims 1, 8, 12, 13, 14 and 15 are independent claims. Presently, all of claims 1-15 stand rejected as being based on a defective reissue declaration. The Examiner has cited the reissue declaration as lacking a proper basis (i.e., error) for requesting a reissue application.